



# WILDWOOD

## CITY COUNCIL



I. CALL TO ORDER

II. ROLL CALL

III. APPROVAL OF MINUTES – February 13, 2017 Meeting

Documents:

[2-13-17 DRAFT MINUTES LOBBYIST SELECTION COMMITTEE.PDF](#)

IV. INTERVIEWS OF FINALISTS

A. Armstrong Teasdale

B. The Missouri Group

V. OTHER

VI. ADJOURNMENT



**CITY COUNCIL  
LOBBYIST SELECTION COMMITTEE**

**RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS**

**WILDWOOD CITY HALL  
16860 Main Street  
City of Wildwood, Missouri 63040**

**MONDAY, FEBRUARY 13, 2017  
5:30 P.M.**

The meeting was called to order at 5:30 P.M.

A roll call was taken with the following results:

<b>Present</b>	<b>Absent</b>
<b>Cullinane</b>	<b>Marshall</b>
<b>Alexander</b>	<b>Levitt</b>
<b>Goodson</b>	

Also present:

**Mr. Ryan Thomas, City Administrator  
Ms. Laura Rehtin, Deputy City Clerk**

**REVIEW OF STATEMENTS OF QUALIFICATIONS**

Discussion was held regarding the following: Mr. Dalton from Armstrong Teasdale is the lobbyist for St. Louis County; perhaps we don't need to enter into an agreement with someone but we should have someone ready in case an issue comes up; should we invite anyone in for an interview; should we see if there is someone from Great Rivers Greenway who we can ask about Armstrong Teasdale; we could call their references as a next step; what are staff's thoughts; do we feel that RJ Scherr failed us; should we take them off the table; Armstrong Teasdale is going to be more in the loop especially because of their other clients; normally I would prefer a boutique firm but maybe not in this case; a big firm makes sense, we need a lot of muscle; are there any other current issues besides the pool tax where a lobbyist would be beneficial; what if the fee for Armstrong Teasdale is outrageous; we could ask them to come in for an interview and ask them to come prepared to discuss how they bill their clients; should we pick two firms to interview; do we agree to have Armstrong Teasdale and The Missouri Group come in for interviews; yes; 4:00 and 5:00 on March 13<sup>th</sup>.

**ADJOURNMENT**

A motion was made by Council Member Alexander, seconded by Council Member Cullinane, to adjourn the meeting. A voice vote was taken with a unanimous affirmative result and the motion was declared passed. There being nothing further, the meeting was adjourned at 6:00 p.m.